

Global Watch Weekly Report

A Weekly Global Watch Media Publication (www.globalwatchweekly.com)

June 5th, 2015

INTERNET SPOTLIGHT




A word cloud on a blue background featuring various terms related to internet regulation and industry. The most prominent words are 'INTERNET' and 'REGULATION', both in large yellow letters. Other words include 'Net Neutrality', 'FCC', 'Broadband', 'Wireless', 'ISP', 'Data Caps', 'Web Traffic', 'Public Utility', 'Government Oversight', 'Lobbying', 'Rates', 'Block', 'Industry', 'Communications', 'Cable Companies', 'High Speed', 'Data', 'Regulation', 'Internet', 'Business', 'Providers', 'Slow Lane', 'Content', 'Reclassify Bundle', 'High Speed', 'Data', 'Net Neutrality', 'Regulation', 'Internet', 'Cable Companies', 'Wireless', 'FCC', 'Business', 'Load', 'REGULATION', 'ISP', 'Broadband', 'Data Caps', 'Communications', 'Industry', 'FCC', 'Web Traffic', 'Networks', 'Block', 'Internet', 'Fast Lane', 'Public Utility', 'Rates', 'Government Oversight', 'Lobbying'.



TELL OUR
GOVERNMENT
STOP THIS ACT

Global Watch Weekly Report



“The Number one weekly report which provides concrete evidence of a New World Order & One World Government agenda”

www.globalwatchweekly.com

This is a FREE report. Please pass this on to others who you may feel would benefit from this information. Web site owners please feel free to give this away to your site visitors or email lists

Not yet on our mailing list? Then visit the web site link below and sign up to ensure you don't miss out on these free weekly reports

www.globalwatchweekly.com

Global Watch Weekly Report

Welcome to the Global Watch Weekly Report

Dear Global Watch Weekly Member

The technological advances of the twentieth century, especially during its last quarter, and also the twenty first century are without historical precedent. Many technological instruments that were unknown just 100 years ago have become integral parts of our lives. The gap between the technology of the nineteenth century and of today has reached unimaginable dimensions. Current developments provide important signs regarding the technological advances from which people will benefit in the twenty first century.

Great advances in communication have provided very rapid communication and information exchange among all people, regardless of location. Satellite-based telecommunication networking, in particular, will provide tremendously rapid communication, and holographic telephones will introduce a new dimension to communication by projecting a life size holographic image of the person being called.

Today, computers are improving life quality in houses, workplaces, medicine, communication, the arts, and so on. In the Golden Age, these developments will accelerate in the socioeconomic domain on a global basis and bring more comfort to humanity. So we are led to believe. This takes us nicely to the phenomenon known as the internet.

In this weeks edition of the Global Watch Weekly we examine the recent developments regarding the biggest challenge presently facing the global elite. The freedom of information through the internet.


Enjoy

Rema Marketing Team

INTERNET SPOTLIGHT

THE INTERNET REVOLUTION


Few can argue the true power of the Internet and the important role it has played over the last two decades in educating and waking up the masses. Billions of people worldwide have simultaneous access to the biggest open-sourced, crowd-sourced, multi-level hive of information, analysis and opinion. Some have called it a “new age of enlightenment,” and an essential component of living in a free and open society going forward into the 21st century. Past, present and future – a vast digital world is at our fingertips. A giant step forward for all mankind, right? For some of us, at least.



A free and open Internet robs oligarchs and elites of their monopoly over reality which they've enjoyed for centuries, if not millennia. A diverse and organic culture has sprung up on the worldwide web, and for the most part, it's been user-driven, and with a level of self-organization never dreamt of previously. If the individual controls the type and flow of information to which he or she has access, then they control their reality and by extension, their world view, too. Naturally, anything this liberating and readily available is going to be a cause of concern for those who consider themselves the rightful rulers of a planetary fiefdom.

Throughout history, power-seekers, usurpers and interlopers have always viewed independent art, artefacts and belief systems as a threat to the order they sought to impose on those subjects whom they believed needed to be tamed, conquered or acquired. Cave paintings were defaced, stone tablets destroyed, temples and architecture burned, and languages erased, and

then replaced by a new version of reality that represented the new order.



In Europe around 1439 Johannes Gutenberg's invention of mechanical movable type printing started the Printing Revolution and this unleashed a wave of innovation and enlightenment – but ruling elites quickly moved to purchase and censor as much as they could, so as to maintain some control over reality.

The printing press also changed the face of organized religion, too, as the Bible, Koran and other texts began to proliferate and disseminate like never before. Subsequently, the next 500 years of mass media – driven by a wave of technological advances – was one of the most tumultuous periods of upheaval in human history. This was not by coincidence because the spread of information, and the elite's ability to control it, is historically a key driving factor in the intensity of oppression, global conflict and geopolitics.

Going forward, there are many fronts on which the war for Internet freedom is being waged. We will look at three strategic areas where the global elite are attempting to control freedom of information on the Internet.


The first is a Chinese-style filtering system that physically blocks content. The second is where corporate cartels throttle Internet speeds for independent content providers, forcing users onto a 'fast lane' that is restricted in terms of independently produced content and free speech. The third is to create a repressive corporate environment where users and independent content creators can be threatened, fined and sued out of existence.

INTERNET SPOTLIGHT

Each of these poses a threat to the free Internet that we all know and love. Here we'll briefly explore all three of these systems of state and corporate subterfuge.

THE GREAT INFORMATION FIREWALL

Fast forward to 2015, and we can see a vast, open global system of users, publishers and social networks. For the most part it is a seamless environment, but there are large bulkheads beginning to take shape. The largest of these is in China. When starting any discussion about controlling or 'shutting down' parts of the Internet, this is where the discussion begins because they are at the cutting edge of physical restrictions.



With a population of 1.4 billion, the People's Republic of China has arguably the world's largest online community, with the Internet officially arriving in China in 1994. Their user base exists behind the world's largest information firewall, referred to in the West as the "Great Firewall of China," a colloquial reference to the country's ancient Great Wall. Its real name is "The Golden Shield Project," launched in 1998 as part of an effort by the Communist Party to slow down the spread of certain information. Phase One of the Shield was completed in 2008.

Interestingly, the ideological basis for the Golden Shield Project can be derived from one of former Chinese leader Deng Xiaoping's favourite fables,

which goes, "If you open the window for fresh air, you have to expect some flies to blow in." This is important to understand because it reveals the mindset of the ruling committee within the larger ruling party, but not necessarily the true collective mindset of the Chinese people themselves. After the Cultural Revolution of the 1950s and 1960s, and since the early 1980s, the Chinese leadership has undertaken a bold transition into the "socialist market economy" – a move that made China a de facto world economic power. But by opening up the country to global investors and markets, it has also had to scale-up its 'fly swatting' activities.

Few public independent studies have been compiled in relation to the Golden Shield. However, Greg Walton of the International Centre for Human Rights and Democratic Development did publish a report where he describes the scope and shape of the project: "Old style censorship is being replaced with a massive, ubiquitous architecture of surveillance: the Golden Shield. Ultimately, the aim is to integrate a gigantic online database with an all-encompassing surveillance network – incorporating speech and face recognition, closed-circuit television, smart cards, credit records, and Internet surveillance technologies." In addition, a study conducted by the OpenNet Initiative (Harvard Law School, University of Toronto Citizen Lab, and Cambridge Security Program) characterized China's Golden Shield as "the most sophisticated content-filtering Internet regime in the world."

Other similar systems, albeit smaller ones, have been implemented and operate in closed monarchical societies like Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Bahrain and the United Arab Emirates. First and foremost, the elites in these Gulf emirates are keen to block any political criticism of their ruling monarchies, any political organizing (which is illegal anyway), along with filtering alternative religious material, pornography, and anything else deemed "hostile to Islam." Also being blocked are privacy and circumvention tools. For the most part, citizens have easily bypassed these systems to access blocked content by

INTERNET SPOTLIGHT

using a simple VPN (virtual private network) service, and governments are constantly playing catch-up in an effort to keep their Internet users from accessing a seemingly endless but ever-growing list of banned content. Like China, newspapers and media are either owned by the state, or by members of the ruling families. With a government shield in place, authorities are able to maintain some control over the perception of reality within set boundaries.

Looking at the Chinese-style model of content command and control, we've explored the physical and technological aspects of filtering and blocking from the perspective of an already closed society. But what is happening in previously open societies which appear to be in the process of closing-up— morphing from free societies into technocratic police states? Outside of the Great Wall, Western elites, oligarchs and proto- fascists have looked on with great interest – and admiration, taking notes as it were.

One government that appears to have an unsettling fascination with China's censorship capabilities is Great Britain. In 2013, Prime Minister David Cameron got the ball rolling by invoking the political maxim, "Never let a good crisis go to waste." He seized on a public outcry over children having undue access to pornographic material on the Internet as the excuse to invite Chinese firm Huawei Technologies Co. into Britain to begin construction of the UK's own version of a digital 'Hadrian's Wall'.



The plan is for Huawei to set-up content filtering infrastructure across all the major Internet Service Provider (ISP) firms. A number of UK

firms including Talk- Talk have implemented the system.



'Homesafe' is TalkTalk's "voluntary scheme" – it allows subscribers to select categories, including social media, gambling and pornography, that they want blocked. However, instead of the customer being able to filter out unwanted content coming into their homes, the 'black- lists' will instead be compiled by the ISP's UK-based employees who ultimately decide which websites are blocked.

As government and corporate interests continue to fuse in the West, you can expect that once the system is in place the government will not be able to resist extending their filtering capability well beyond 'porn', and into blocking access to any competing political systems, dissenting opinion, or alternative analysis that might expose the government for being either incompetent, criminal, or corrupt. It's a given that Western authorities will not hesitate to invoke behind-closed doors 'national security' concerns as a reason to block content which might expose, or be critical of, their governments.

The new global network of transnational corporations could also complicate matters. If the British system is Chinese-run, then could this also extend to material visible inside Britain that is critical of the Chinese government? It's one thing to give up your privacy to the UK government – who could at least be held accountable at some stage of the Orwellian tiered structure – but it's another thing to give it up to a Chinese corporation. Critics will rightly argue that the UK's latest reactive crisis gives way too much power to a foreign Chinese company – including a potential for numerous back doors into Britain's

INTERNET SPOTLIGHT

digital infrastructure. In 2012, the Australian government rejected Huawei's involvement to provide the infrastructure for the National Broadband Network. The deal was stopped because nobody could prove the Chinese did not have a back door to the network.

FRANCE: LIBERTY NO MORE

In France, once regarded as the bastion of free and open speech, Internet freedom is coming under direct attack through political channels. And, like Britain, the government is using a recent crisis – the Charlie Hebdo and Kosher supermarket shootings in Paris – to enact new and stringent guidelines on what is 'acceptable speech' according to the state.

The opening salvo was fired by French Prime Minister Francois Hollande who delivered an official declaration which compared what he labelled as "conspiracy theories" to Nazism – and who also called for the government to control the availability of such ideas on the Internet and social networks.



On 27 January 2015 at the Shoah Memorial in France, Hollande declared:

[Anti-Semitism] maintains conspiracy theories that spread without limits.... [The] answer is to realize that conspiracy theories are disseminated through the Internet and social networks. Moreover, we must remember that it is words that have in the past prepared extermination. We need to act at the European level, and even inter- nationally, so that a legal framework can be defined, and so that Internet platforms that manage social networks are held to account and that sanctions be imposed for failure to enforce.

Clearly, political elites and super-bureaucrats are worried. It's becoming harder to control consensus reality. More often than not, their

'official' version of history is one stitched together by a myriad of lies, cover-ups, political assassinations, sleight-of-hand false flag deceptions, secret societies, dual loyalties and stolen fortunes – this has been the exclusive privilege of organized crime and the ruling elite for centuries. Putting aside history's 'big ticket' items though, the real reason for this authoritarian trend is much more fundamental.

With no court review or adversarial process, France's Interior Minister Bernard Cazeneuve ordered five websites to be blocked in France, and on top of this anyone who visits any of the sites is redirected to a scary looking government website which says:

“YOU ARE BEING REDIRECTED TO THIS OFFICIAL WEBSITE SINCE YOUR COMPUTER WAS ABOUT TO CONNECT WITH A PAGE THAT PROVOKES TERRORIST ACTS OR CONDONES TERRORISM PUBLICLY.”

While it could be argued that the five websites initially listed by the government for 'blocking' were Islamic extremist, and allegedly promoted ISIS/ISIL-related activity and therefore should be kept hidden, the government has yet to make any caveat anywhere within its reams of policy literature as to where its definition of 'extremism' ends. Because their new process is extrajudicial, there will be no warning issued if you are on the French government's target list.


In fact, this scenario has already happened. Within that first batch of banned websites was a site called "Islamic- news.info." The owner of the site was never first contacted and given a chance to remove offensive material, and was deemed a terrorist even though nothing in what he had posted supported terrorism.

Still, the US and British governments routinely spend billions in state funds in order to infiltrate, disrupt, and sabotage websites, and social networking groups of so-called 'conspiracy theorists', and even create entirely new groups – just to contradict them. All of this proves that an Orwellian modus operandi is already at play.

INTERNET SPOTLIGHT

NET NEUTRALITY VS. 'THE FAST LANE'

In the US, the front-line in the fight to preserve Internet freedom is taking place over the battle for Net Neutrality. The principle of Net Neutrality is based on the idea that “all bytes are created equal.” In other words, keeping it neutral – not giving an unfair bandwidth or speed advantage to corporations over individual users – ensures the Internet remains a level playing field.



Earlier this year, the US Federal Communications Commission (FCC) voted to implement a new set of strict “Neutrality” rules, designed to make sure Internet Service Providers treat all ‘legal’ content equally.




Unlike the overt Chinese-style regime, or the repressive new ‘speech guidelines’ in France, America’s Orwellian push is a much more subtle affair, where watchdog and activists need to read the fine print. User groups have long feared the advent of the new ‘Internet 2’ protocols. This could lead to a situation where telecommunications providers and ISP’s gang-up with Big Media and governments to create their own “Internet fast lane” – a narrow, commercial-oriented content program, not unlike the

monolithic cable TV environment dominated by big players. Independent content providers would be relegated to the Internet ‘junkyard’ with depressingly low speeds. This plan would eventually force most users onto the mainstream 2.0 or 3.0 platform.

During the recent ugly partisan tug of war in Washington DC over the issue of Net Neutrality, Democrat Party pundits warned that mega corporations should not be allowed to dictate who has access to the fast lane, and neither should large firms be permitted to buy priority access from major telecommunications firms and ISP’s – many of whom have joint interests and ownership in an industry looking more like a cartel with each passing year. On the other side of the argument, Republicans and their media outlets made the sensational claim this was all about ‘Obama wanting to takeover the Internet’ by determining what content can be posted online.

Fears of the FCC now having more authority over Internet content are still relevant, but only to the extent that a new regime of censorship grows out of what the FCC has described as plans to enforce its new open Internet rules through “investigation and processing of formal and informal complaints.”

For the first time the FCC can also address complaints at interconnection points, the gateway between ISPs and the rest of the Internet, on a case-by-case basis. The wording puts an emphasis on protecting “all legal content” but does not specify what will be deemed “illegal” content in the future.



INTERNET SPOTLIGHT

AUSTRALIA & INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

The other “crisis” being touted by governments and corporate giants is that of online piracy or “illegal downloading,” more aptly described as “copyright violation.” Unfortunately for the independent user or content creator, big corporations can attack anyone for any reason – and it matters not whether any damage has been done or sales have been lost by the corporate giant. A powerful corporation can freely glean, steal or take any little man’s idea and spin it into a global marketing sensation – and they often do. So the playing field is weighted heavily in favour of the giants.



The legal teeth for this dystopic corporate-run matrix may be provided by the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) trade agreement. TPP advocates are confident they can get the agreement signed as they have most key politicians in their pockets. Essentially, this new international free trade treaty gives corporations and their lawyers dominion over nation states and their laws, and what used to be due process.

Australia is currently one of the frontlines in this battle. From an individual’s perspective, a likely scenario might look something like this: Internet users will be subject to virtually unlimited damages payout to Hollywood studios once their new ‘Copyright Notice Scheme Industry Code’ is implemented, allowing ‘rights holders’ such as Hollywood to go after individual users, which means anyone with an Internet connection could face direct legal action from corporate studios for downloading unlicensed movies, TV shows, or music.

The industry lobby behind this ‘code’ is the Communications Alliance.



To administer this new code, corporations and their government lackeys are proposing a draconian “three-strikes” system.

If you are suspected of illegally downloading content, you will first receive an “education notice” to teach you about your “crime,” followed by a second warning, followed by a termination of your service, along with other threats and fines. After the third strike, your ISP will also be given the right to pass on your private customer details – address, name, contact details – over to the copyright holder so they can then target and threaten you directly. If that’s not enough, the legislation also stipulates that ISPs must record all metadata for at least two years.

There are some obvious problems with this proposal. Erin Turner, the campaign spokesperson for Australian consumer group Choice, believes this new ‘code’ could create a giant legal quagmire. She told Daily Mail Australia: *“Often consumers share with flatmates, partners, or family, which means that even those who don’t download illegally could be taken to court.”*

What about a hacker who downloads “illegal” or unlicensed content from someone else’s PC? They could simply hijack, or takeover another personal or business computer to carry out the piracy, and the hacking victim would automatically be stuck with the penalties or the lawsuit – with no way of proving their innocence.

The ‘Copyright Notice Scheme Industry Code’ is meant to be implemented by September of this year. But on 26 March US media industry moguls got through Australian parliament one of the most brutal pieces of legislation seen to date – the 2015 Copyright (Online Infringement) Bill 2015. This law gives them extraordinary power to have websites they deem harmful to their business blocked in Australia.

INTERNET SPOTLIGHT

These media giants will now use Australian courts to gain access to user metadata that will be collected under the Telecommunications (Interception and Access) Amendment (Data Retention) Bill 2015. Was it a coincidence that the Copyright Amendment (Online Infringement) Bill 2015 was introduced into Australian Federal Parliament on 26 March, the same day the data retention bill became law?

Just like the TPP, this legislation is framed by corporate lawyers. It's important to understand the importance of this kind of binding legal framework because it functions as a type of Trojan horse that bypasses common law by invoking a corporation's 'rights' as superseding your own rights as an individual citizen. In this new corporate state, you will be ruled not by laws, but rather by corporate policies, and it's those policies that give real teeth to the Orwellian Big Brother state.

Today, the corporate state will block "piracy" websites to stop "illegal downloads," but tomorrow that remit can easily extend to anything the corporate state deems "dangerous" or "untruthful." In this repressive environment devoid of any due process or rational debate, the state is free to target alternative news websites that offer a different view or opinion and are accused of spreading "dangerous conspiracy theories."

Preserving freedom of speech and access to content online is a war that will have to be fought on multiple fronts, and in this article we have only listed a few of these. Regardless, users will have to be ready to not only defend their rights in the face of state or corporate tyranny, but also be prepared to take the fight to the giants and let them know – what the Internet founders originally intended – that the little man is still king of the digital jungle.

THE FINAL WORD

What we have focused on in this edition of the Global Watch Weekly are traits of the coming one world government. Control of all people.

"And authority was given him over every tribe, tongue and nation" Revelation 13:7

Until now, 100% full control of every tongue, tribe and nation has always represented the biggest hurdle for the conspirators behind global control. So a plan has been devised to seize full control of the two nerve centres of that affect every single person on this planet. Control of all money and control of all global and local communication.



By migrating the world to a totally 100% electronic currency and cashless society and by using an avalanche of social networking sites and the increasing attraction of cell phones to entrap billions of people, the largest and most sophisticated privacy conspiracy of all time is being rolled country by country as the full power of the Mark of the Beast comes into full view.

The question is how will you escape it?